

Internet-based cognitive behavioural therapy for subthreshold depression in people over 50 years old: A randomized controlled clinical trial Post-treatment & one-year follow-up results

Viola Spek, Ivan Nyklíček, Niels Smits, Pim Cuijpers, Heleen Riper, Jules Keyzer, Victor Pop



Introduction

Subthreshold depression: symptoms of depression, but not enough to meet the DSM-IV criteria for major depression



Introduction

- The prevalence of subthreshold depression is 8-16% in people over 50 years of age
- Subthreshold depression is clinically relevant: in psychosocial functioning people with subthreshold depression are comparable to people with a major depressive episode
- Subthreshold depression is a risk factor for developing a major depressive episode



Aim of the study

To compare a newly developed internet-based treatment to an evidence-based group treatment and to a waiting-list control condition.



Participants

- 191 women and 110 men
- Mean age = 55 years (SD = 4.6)
- Subthreshold depression: EDS > 12, but no DSM-IV diagnosis of depression



Participants









Procedure

- EDS
- Face-to-face interview, including CIDI
- Randomization
- Baseline assessment
- Intervention (or waiting-list)
- Post-treatment assessment after 10 weeks
- One-year follow-up



Interventions

Group cognitive behaviour therapy

- 10 group sessions with homework
- Evidence-based treatment
- Based on Lewinsohn's Coping With Depression course



Interventions

Internet-based cognitive behaviour therapy

- 8 modules with homework
- Treatment at home
- Self help, no support from therapist
- Based on Dutch adaptation of Lewinsohn's Coping With Depression course



Analyses

- Contrasts
- Effect sizes
 - Improvement within groups
 - Difference between groups
- Minimal Important Difference



Results

- A mean of 98% of the sessions of group treatment was completed
- A mean of 78% of the modules of internet-based treatment was completed
- 95% of participants completed group treatment
- 48% of participants completed internet-based treatment



Results (post-treatment)

First contrast: do the two interventions differ from the waiting-list? Second contrast: do the two interventions differ?

First contrast: significant difference between the waiting-list and the two interventions (p = 0.04). Second contrast: the two interventions do not differ (p = 0.62).



Results (one-year follow-up)

First contrast: does internet-based treatment differ from the waiting-list?

Second contrast: do the internet-based CBT and group CBT differ?

First contrast: significant difference between the internet-based treatment and the waiting-list (n = 0.03)

(p = 0.03).

Second contrast: the two interventions do not differ (p = 0.08).